



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,227	07/23/2003	Helen S.M. Lu	PI1270USCNT	8629
23906	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L	
	ENT RECORDS CENTER	C	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1621	
WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No	Applicant/s)				
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary	10/625,227	LU ET AL.				
Onic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
7. 44411114 5.5	MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O	october 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disp sition of Claims	,					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ad.				
and the state of t	or and doraniou dopied not rederve	· · ·				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/625,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement under 35 USC 121 and applicants' traversal thereof have been carefully considered. Applicants assert that examination of all the groups would not amount to an undue burden since they have common classification. As pointed out in the original requirement, even though they have common classification the search and examination thereof require divergent considerations even if the search of the patents may overlap. While there are similarities in the processes, such as the starting material, the actual processes require still further distinct reactants and reaction conditions. They are not the same processes and are patentably distinct. Accordingly, the requirement is deemed proper, repeated and made final.

Claims 2-17 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1031

Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inanaga (EP-103887). The reference generically teaches the claimed compounds, note

¹ The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

the necessary octahydrobinapthol intermediates to the compounds of formula 3 of Inanaga wherein R_5 and R_6 may be hydrogen and either R_7 or R_8 may be hydrogen or alkyl (note the discussion in paragraph [0013]). The reference does not specifically exemplify the instant compound. However, the generic teaching indicates to one of ordinary skill in the art that species falling within the generic disclosure, including the instantly claimed compounds would possess the prior art use. It is well within the skill of the artisan to select among the alternatives of the reference to afford compounds possessing the prior art use.

Conclusion

The remaining references are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0647**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1600**. The official group FAX machine number is **571-273-8300**.

MShippen January 9, 2005

In re Lemin, 141 USPQ 814.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1621